

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3993 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?
1 to 5 No

UMEDSINH BHIKHUBHA JADEJA

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MS SUBHADRA G PATEL for Petitioner
Mr. Nigam Shukla, learned Asst.G.P. for the
respondents.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA

Date of decision: 05/11/96

ORAL JUDGMENT :

1. This Special Civil Application is directed against the detention order dated 28-4-96 passed by the District Magistrate, Jamnagar whereby the petitioner has been detained under the provisions of the Gujarat Prevention of Anti Social Activities Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act'). The detention order was executed on 1-5-96 and since then the

petitioner is under detention lodged at Ahmedabad Central Prison at Ahmedabad.

2. The present Special Civil Application was filed in this court on 11-6-96 and on 12-6-96 Rule returnable on 28-6-96 was issued. So far neither any reply has been filed by the respondents nor the affidavit of the detaining authority has been filed.

3. The grounds enclosed with the detention order show that 6 criminal cases were registered against the petitioner for offences under the Bombay Prohibition Act at Police Station, Lalpur, out of which 5 matters are pending in the Court and one matter was pending investigation at the time when the detention order was passed. Besides these, the detaining authority has also taken into consideration the statements made by 4 witnesses on 9-4-96 against the petitioner's anti social activities. The witnesses have stated that the petitioner has been engaged in anti social and criminal activities, was a head strong person, used to move with arms, beating innocent people and has thus become a problem for public order. The detaining authority has also considered that the petitioner was a bootlegger and was engaged in the unauthorised business of manufacturing, possession and sale of country liquor.

4. The detention order has been challenged on more than one grounds. But the learned counsel for the petitioner has kept her arguments confined to the question that even if the allegations and materials against the petitioner are taken to be correct on its face value, it can not be said that a case of breach of public order is made out. At the most it is a case of breach of law and order.

5. For the reasons given in the judgment dated 4-10-96 in Special Civil Application No.3879/96 it is found that the allegations and materials, on the basis of which the detention order has been passed, do not constitute a case of breach of public order and it is at the most a case of breach of law and order. The detention order, therefore, can not be sustained in the eye of law.

6. Accordingly this Special Civil Application is allowed and the impugned detention order dated 28-4-96 passed by the District Magistrate, Jamnagar is hereby quashed and set aside and the petitioner's detention is declared to be illegal. The respondents are directed to release the petitioner and set him at liberty forthwith,

if not required in any other case. Rule is made
absolute.

-0-